

Virginia Freedom of Information Advisory Council Commonwealth of Virginia

ELECTRONIC MEETINGS PUBLIC COMMENT FORM

WE NEED YOUR HELP--Please give us your feedback regarding how meetings using electronic communications technology compare to traditional meetings where everyone is present in the same room at the same time.

1. Name of the public body holding the meeting:State Water Control Board/Department of Environmental Quality_
2. Date of the meeting:July 20, 2020 (Wegmans public hearing)
3. What are your overall thoughts or comments about this meeting? An in-person meeting would
have been more appropriate, effective and inclusive.
4. Where did you attend this meeting main meeting location OR from (remote) location? (circle one)
5. Technology used for the meeting (audio only or audio/visual, devices and/or software usedplease be a specific as possiblefor example, speakerphone, iPad, Skype, WebEx, Telepresence, etc.): audio and visual through Android smartphone. Listened both without headphones 6. Were you able to hear everyone who spoke at the meeting (members of the body and members of the
public)? Poor Excellent 1 2 3 4 5
COMMENT Members of the body difficult to hear clearly and some members of public had feedback sound making it difficult to 7. How easy was it for you to obtain agenda materials for this meeting? understand them. Easy Difficult 2 3 4 5
COMMENT materials emailed prior to meeting
8. Could you hear/understand what the speakers said or did static, interruption, or any other technological problems interfere? Easy Difficult 1 2 3 4 5
9. If the meeting used audio/visual technology, were you able to see all of the people who spoke? Poorly Clearly N/A - not sure I was supposed to see public speakers or not.

	COMME	VI	saw no	one u	Uho Spoke except board members
10. If	there were	any pr	esentatio	ns (Pow	verPoint, etc.), were you able to hear and see them?
2	Poorly			Clear	ly
(1) 2	3	4	5	
	COMMEN	VT Ue	s, one	pour	r point and it was visually unclear, not long
		eno	ough		,
11. We	ere the me	mbers a	ıs attenti	ve and c	did they participate as much as you would have expected?
	Less			More	
	1 (2)	3	4	5	
	COMMEN	VT Ve	ry little	e inte	eraction excepts to call names and time limit
			uinder		,
12. We	re there d	ifferenc	es you no	iticed in	n how the members interacted?
	With the	other m	embers p	resent:	
	Very Diffe	erent	-	No D	difference
	1	<u> </u>	(3)	4	5
With members participating from other locations:					
	Very Diffe	erent			Difference
	1	2	(3)	4	5
	With the	public:			
	Very Diffe	erent		No D	Difference 5
	l	2	(3)	4	5
	COMME!	VT	03		
13. Dic	d you feel t	the tech	nology w	as a hel	p or a hindrance?
	Hindered	60 <u></u>			Helped
	1	(2)	3	4	5
		$\overline{}$			
	COMME!	NT			
					4 35355355 903 5 3
14. Ho	w would y	ou rate	the over	all quali	ity of this meeting?
	Poor			Excel	
	1 2	2.5) ₃	4	5	

COMMENT Technology was basic, board interaction weak, Some public were having difficulty with mics (muting, unmuting)
THANK YOU. Please send your completed form by mail, facsimile or electronic mail to the FOIA
Council using the following contact information:

Virginia Freedom of Information Advisory Council
General Assembly Building, Second Floor
201 North 9th Street, Richmond, Virginia 23219
Fax: 804-371-8705/Tele: 866-448-4100